Practitioner's Docket No. 2002-IP-007693U1

PATENT TW #



#### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

in re application of: Philip D. Nguyen

Application No: 10 / 650,063

**Group No.:** 3672

Filed: 08/26/2003 (0/650 064 Examiner: Fuller, Bryan A

For Production-Enhancing Completion Methods

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1460 Alexandria, VA 22313-1460

# TRANSMITTAL OF INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BEFORE MAILING DATE OF EITHER A FINAL ACTION OR NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE (37 C.F.R. § 1.97(c))

NOTE: 37 C.F.R. 1.97: "(c) An information disclosure statement shall be considered by the Office If filed after the period specified in paragraph (b) of this section, provided that the information disclosure statement is filed before the mailing date of any of a final action under § 1.113, a notice of allowance under § 1.311, or an action that otherwise closes prosecution in the application, and it is accompanied by one of:

- (1) The statement specified in paragraph (a) of this section; or
- (2) The fee set forth in \$ 1.17(b)."

NOTE: "If a final action or notice of allowance is mailed in an application and later withdrawn, the application will be considered as not having had a final action or notice of allowance mailed for purposes of considering an information disclosure statement." Notice of April 20, 1992 (1138 O.G. 37-41, 39),

CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10° (When using Express Mail, the Express Mail label number is mandatory; Express Mail certification is optional.)

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

MAPLING

deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

37 C.F.R. § 1.10 °

with sufficient postage as first class mail. 

as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee"

Mailing Label No. (mandstory)

TRANSMISSION

facelmile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office, (703)

Signature

Tammy Knight

\* Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any cartificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

(Transmittal of Information Disclosure Statement before Mailing Date of Either a Final Action Or Notice of Allowance [6-4]—page 1 of 3)

08/15/2005 HTECKLU1 00000089 080300 10650063 08/15/2 01 FC:1806 180.00 DA 01 FC:1

08/15/2005 HTECKLU1 00000092 080300 10650064

01 FC:1806 180.00 DA

(type or print name of person certifying)

Void date: 08/15/2005 HTECKLU1 08/15/2005 HTECKLU1 00000089 080300 10650063 01 FC:1806 180.00 CR

- NOTE: 37 C.F.R. § 1.704(d); "A paper containing only an information disclosure statement in compliance with §§ 1.97 and 1.98 will not be considered a failure to engage in reasonable efforts to conclude prosecution (processing or examination) of the application under paragraphs (c)(0), (c)(0), (c)(0), or (c)(10) of this section if it is accompanied by a statement that each item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart application and that this communication was not received by any individual designated in § 1.50(c) more than thirty days prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement. This thirty-day period is not extendible."
- NOTE: "Il information submitted during the period set forth in 37 C.F.R. 1.97(c) with a certification is used in a new ground of rejection on unamencied claims, the next Office action will not be made final since in this situation it is clear that applicant has submitted the information to the office promptly after it has become known and the information is being submitted prior to a final determination on patentability by the Office. However, the information submitted with a certification can be used in a new ground of rejection and the next Office action made final, if the new ground of rejection was necessitated by amendment of the application by applicant. Where the information is submitted during this period with a fee, the examiner may use the information submitted, e.g., printed publication or evidence of public use, and make the next Office action final whether or not the claims have been amended, provided that no other new ground of rejection which was not necessitated by amendment to the claims is introduced by the examiner. See MPEP 708.07(a). If a new ground of rejection is introduced that is neither necessitated by an amendment to the claims nor based on the information submitted with the fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(b), the Office action shall not be made final." Notice of April 20, 1982 (1138 O.G. 37-41, 39).
- WARNING: "A petition for suspension of action to allow applicant time to submit an information disclosure statement will be denied as falling to present good and sufficient reasons, since 37 C.F.R. § 1.97 provides adequate recourse for the timely submission of prior art for consideration by the examiner," Notice of July 6, 1992 (1141 O.G. 63). But see § 103(b) and (c), limited suspension of action in a continued prosecution application (CPA) filed under § 1.53(d) and in a request for continued examination (RCE) under § 1.114.

WARNING: No extension of time can be had under \$7 C.F.R. 1.136 (a) or (b) for filing an IDS, \$7 C.F.R. 1.97(j).

## TIME OF TRANSMITTAL OF ACCOMPANYING INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

- 1. The information disclosure statement transmitted herewith is being filed after three months of the filing date of this national application or the date of entry of the national stage as set forth in § 1.491 in an international application or after the mailing date of the first Office action on the merits, whichever event occurred last but before the mailing date of either:
  - (1) a final action under § 1.113 or
  - (2) a notice of allowance under § 1.311,

whichever occurs first.

#### STATEMENT OR FEE

2. Accompanying this transmittal is

(check either A or B below)

A. M a statement as specified in 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e).

OR

B. 
the fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(p) for submission of an information disclosure statement under § 1.97(c). (\$180.00).

(Transmittal of Information Disclosure Statement before Mailing Date of Either a Final Action Or Notice of Allowance. [8-4]—page 2 of 3)

#### FEE PAYMENT

### (complete this item, if applicable)

		y the fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. § $1.17(p)$ for submission terment under § $1.97(c)$ (\$180.00).				
		Fee due \$ <u>180</u>				
	метно	OF PAYMENT OF FEE				
4.						
	Attached is a	money order in the amount of \$				
X	Authorization is hereby made to charge the amount of \$ $180$					
	10 to Deposit Account No	08 <u>2</u> 0300				
	to Credit card as shown form PTO-2038.	on the attached credit card information authorization				
WA	RNING: Credit card information should	d not be included on this form as it may become public.				
Charge any additional fees required by this paper or credit any overpaymen manner authorized above.						
	A duplicate of this paper is a	ttached.				
	•					
		•				
		SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER				
Reg. I	<b>No.</b> 28,626	SARGUME OF PRACTITIONER				
	20,020	Robert A. Kent				
		(type or print name of practitioner)				
Tel. N	<b>6.:</b> (580) 251–3125	P.O. Port 1/21				
		P.O. Box. 1431				
Custo	mer No.:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
		Duncan, OK 73536-0440				

(Transmittal of Information Disclosure Statement before Mailing Date of Either a Final Action Or Notice of Allowance (8-4)—page 3 of 3)

PTO-1449

an

Application No. 10/650,064

Docket Number

2002-IP-007693U1

Applicant(s)

Philip D. Nguyen

Group Art Unit 3672 Filing Date **08/26/2003** 

Application

Application

. \_\_\_\_

ET THE	3 857 444	ISSUE/PUB. DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUBCLASS	FILI DA	
	3,857,444	12/31/74	Copeland	166	276	02/02	2/73
•	4,291,766	09/29/81	Davies et al.	166	276	06/02	2/80
,	4,838,352	06/13/89	Oberste-Padtberg et al.	166	291	11/19	9/87
•	4,842,072	06/27/89	Friedman et al.	166	295	07/25	5/88
	4,936,385	06/26/90	Weaver et al.	166	288	10/30	0/89
	5,547,023	08/20/96	McDaniel et al.	166	280	05/2	5/95
	5,712,314	01/27/98	Surles et al.	521	41	08/09	9/96
	6,152,234	11/28/00	Newhouse et al.	166	403	06/10	0/98
	6,543,545 B1	04/08/03	Chatterji et al.	166	381	10/27/00 12/08/00 08/26/03	
	US 2002/0070020 A1	06/13/02	Nguyen	166	295		
	US 2005/0045326 A1	03/03/05	Nguyen	166	278		
		FORE	IGN PATENT DOCUMENTS	3			
INUT	DOCUMENT NO.	DATE	COUNTRY	CLASS	SUBCLASS	TRANSL	ATION
INIT.						Yes	No

NON	DATENT	DOCUMENTS
INC HY-	-PAICNI	DOCUMENTS

INIT.	DOCUMENT (Including Author, Title, Source, and Pertinent Pages)	Date

**EXAMINER** 

DATE CONSIDERED

EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP § 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to the applicant.